

Table 1C. Water Bodies on 1998 303(d) List Recommended for Retention on 2002 List					
Waterbody Name	Proposed Action	Pollutant(s) /Stressor(s)	TMDL Priority Ranking¹	TMDL End Date²	Comments
Surprise Valley HU 641.00³					
Mill Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	Medium	2011	Needs study to verify need for TMDL
Susanville HU 637.00					
Eagle Lake	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Nitrogen	High	2008	
Eagle Lake	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Phosphorus	High	2008	
Pine Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation [actual problem: Fish Habitat Alterations]	High	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Lassen Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Flow Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Susan River	Retain on 303(d) List	Unknown Toxicity	High	2007	Listed for toxic bioassay results
Honey Lake	Retain on 303(d) List	Arsenic	Medium	2005	Natural sources plus geothermal discharges
Honey Lake	Retain on 303(d) List	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides	Medium	2005	Natural sources plus geothermal discharges
Honey Lake Area Wetlands	Retain on 303(d) List	Metals	Medium	2007	Natural sources plus geothermal discharges
Honey Lake Wildfowl Mgmt. Ponds	Retain on 303(d) List	Flow Alterations	Low	2007 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Honey Lake Wildfowl Mgmt Ponds	Retain on 303(d) List	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides	Medium	2007	Natural sources plus geothermal discharges
Honey Lake Wildfowl Mgmt. Ponds	Retain on 303(d) List	Metals	Medium	2007	Natural sources plus geothermal discharges
Honey Lake Wildfowl Mgmt. Ponds	Retain on 303(d) List	Trace Elements	Medium	2007	Natural sources plus geothermal discharges
Skedaddle Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	High Coliform Count	Low	2006	Further study may lead to delisting
Truckee River HU 635.00					
Truckee River	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2005	TMDL development in progress
Bear Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2005	TMDL development in progress
Bronco Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2005	TMDL development in progress
Gray Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2005	TMDL development in progress
Squaw Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2003	TMDL development in progress
Cinder Cone Springs	Retain on 303(d) List	Nutrients	Medium	2007	Further study may lead to delisting
Cinder Cone Springs	Retain on 303(d) List	Salinity/TDS/Chlorides	Medium	2007	Further study may lead to delisting
Lake Tahoe HU 634.00					
Lake Tahoe	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Phosphorus	High	2007	TMDL development in progress
Lake Tahoe	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Nitrogen	High	2007	TMDL development in progress
Lake Tahoe	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2007	TMDL development in progress
Heavenly Valley Creek above USFS property line	Retain on 303(d) List	Sediment	High	2001	TMDL completed 2001, awaiting final approvals
Ward Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2007	To be coordinated with Lake Tahoe TMDL
Blackwood Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2007	TMDL development in progress
East Fork Carson River HU 632.00					
Indian Creek Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List	Nutrients	High	2002 ⁷	
Indian Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Monitor Creek	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Iron	High	2011	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation
Monitor Creek	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Silver	High	2011	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation
Monitor Creek	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Aluminum	High	2011	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation
Monitor Creek	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Manganese	High	2011	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation
Wolf Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2011	
Aspen Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Metals	High	2011	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation
Bryant Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Metals	High	2011	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation
Leviathan Creek, at and below Leviathan Mine	Retain on 303(d) List	Metals	High	2011	TMDL to be coordinated with CERCLA remediation

Table 1(C). Waters Recommended for Retention, continued					
Waterbody Name	Proposed Action	Pollutant (s)/Stressor(s)	TMDL Priority Ranking¹	TMDL End Date²	Comments
West Walker River HU 631.00					
Topaz Lake	Retain on 303(d) list	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2007	
West Walker River	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2009	
East Walker River HU 630.00					
Bridgeport Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Nitrogen	High	2005	TMDL development in progress
Bridgeport Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Phosphorus	High	2005	TMDL development in progress
Bridgeport Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2005	TMDL development in progress
East Walker River below Bridgeport Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	High	2009	
Green Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Rough Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Aurora Canyon Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Hot Springs Canyon Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	Medium	2005	Needs study to verify need for TMDL
Clark Canyon Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Clearwater Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	Medium	2005	Needs study to verify need for TMDL
Bodie Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Metals	High	2004	Impairment probably related to past mining activity
Mono HU 601.00					
Lee Vining Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Flow Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Mill Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Flow Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Owens HU 603.00					
Haiwee Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List	Copper	Low	2003	TMDL development in progress
Mammoth Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Metals	High	2008	Needs study to verify need for TMDL
Twin Lakes (Mammoth)	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Nitrogen	Low	2008	Needs study to verify need for TMDL
Twin Lakes (Mammoth)	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Phosphorus	Low	2008	Needs study to verify need for TMDL
Owens River (Long HA)	Retain on 303(d) List	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Owens River (Upper)	Retain on 303(d) List	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Owens River (Lower)	Retain on 303(d) List	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Crowley Lake	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Nitrogen	High	2005	Nutrient loading currently under study
Crowley Lake	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Phosphorus	High	2005	Nutrient loading currently under study
Tinemaha Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List	Metals [Copper]	Low	2004	Copper from algicide application
Pleasant Valley Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List	Nitrogen	High	2006	
Pleasant Valley Reservoir	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Phosphorus	High	2006	
Tuttle Creek	Retain on 303(d) List ⁴	Habitat Alterations	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Goodale Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	Low	2009	Further study may lead to delisting
Cottonwood Creek below LADWP diversion	Retain on 303(d) List	Water/Flow Variability	Low	2011 ⁵	TMDL probably not needed ⁵
Mojave HU 628.00					
Horseshoe Lake	Retain on 303(d) List	Sedimentation/Siltation	Low	2007	Further study may lead to delisting
Green Valley Lake Creek	Retain on 303(d) List	Priority Organics	Low	2006	Further study may lead to delisting

Footnotes for Table 1C. (The following footnotes were developed for Table 1, the master table containing all recommendations. Some of the information is not relevant to this subtable.)

¹TMDL priority rankings and end dates are shown only for water bodies recommended for inclusion in the 2002 list. The entry “NA” means “not applicable.”

² TMDL end dates are the estimated years for Regional Board adoption of Basin Plan amendments. Plan amendments incorporating TMDLs will not take effect unless and until they receive further approvals from the California State Water Resources Control Board, the California Office of Administrative Law, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

³ Water bodies are grouped by watersheds in north-to-south order. Watershed (Hydrologic Unit or HU) numbers are Department of Water Resources numbers used in the maps in the Lahontan Basin Plan, and do not run in north-to-south order.

⁴ The entry “Retain on 303(d) List” in the “Proposed Action” column means that this water body/pollutant combination is on the 1998 Section 303(d) list and is proposed to remain on the 2002 list. In some cases the nature of the pollutants or the extent of the impaired segment has been clarified. For example, earlier listings for “nutrients” or “organic enrichment/Low D.O.” may now be changed to separate listings for individual pollutants (nitrogen and phosphorus), and an earlier single entry for habitat alterations in the Owens River has been changed to three separate entries to reflect different segments of the river. Changes are recommended in priority rankings and TMDL end dates for many of the water body/pollutant combinations from the 1998 list.

⁵ Pending revisions to federal regulations for the implementation of Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act would clarify that TMDLs are not required for waters impaired by flow alterations, water/flow variability and habitat alterations, unless specific “pollutants” are also involved. (Load calculations are not feasible in cases where there are no pollutants.) Under the proposed new regulations, waters impaired by habitat or flow alterations, or by flow variability, would be placed on a separate list of impaired waters to highlight the need for control strategies other than TMDLs.

⁶Clarification of the nature of the pollutants has been added in brackets for some water bodies recommended for removal from the Section 303(d) list. See the fact sheets for these water bodies for further information.

⁷Regional Board staff completed draft Basin Plan amendments incorporating a phosphorus TMDL for Indian Creek Reservoir in November 2000. The Regional Board has been unable to act on these amendments due to lack of a quorum for a vote.

⁸Some waters were listed based on Toxic Substances Monitoring Program (TSMP) fish tissue data. Because sample numbers were small, TSMP data alone are not considered sufficient grounds for listing.